**Meteorus gyrator** (Thunberg) (**Hymenoptera**: **Braconidae**) In Egypt: Geographical Distribution and Mass-production
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** The larval internal parasitoids in the genus *Meteorus* attack certain lepidopterous larvae in different Egyptian fields. Two species, *M. rubens* and *M. gyrator* were the most abundant species reared from several lepidopterous larval species, which feed on different host plants in the two selected Governorates in Egypt throughout two successive years.

**Aim:** This work presents a method specifically designed to improve the abundance and the parasitizing potential of *M. gyrator* in open fields to parasitize and develop on a broad range of noctuid’s pests by releasing considerable number of the parasitoid adults. Also it deals with some aspects of the basic biology of *M. gyrator* parasitizing *Spodoptera littoralis* and *Autographa ni*, as mass-rearing hosts.

**Methodology:** 1- Population Dynamics

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mgesraha@gmail.com;
Biweekly samples of lepidopterous larvae were collected from different host plants in the fields of Giza and Faiyum Governorates, for two successive years (November, 2017 to October, 2019). Collected larvae were confined individually under constant conditions until pupation or the emergence of the internal parasitoid’s larvae for pupation.

2- Laboratory Rearing

Cultures of some insect larvae, Heliothis armigera, Spodoptera exigua, Agrotis ipsilon, Sesamia cretica, S. littoralis and Autographa ni were reared in laboratory under constant conditions to act as hosts for mass-production of the parasitoid, M. gyrator.

**Results:** Obtained results reveal that A. ipsilon was the main host of M. rubens, it was more abundant in both years and localities of the survey. Meteorus gyrator was recorded at fewer numbers; it was reared from S. littoralis, S. exigua, S. critica, Heliothis spp. and Autographa spp. The parasitism percentage by M. rubens averaged 23.10% at Giza. While in the case of M. gyrator it averaged 3.25%. In Faiyum, the corresponding figure averaged 12.96% parasitism for M. rubens; while it averaged 6.93%, for M. gyrator. To increase the efficacy of M. gyrator, mass production experiments were carried out, suggesting that Autographa ni was the most suitable for mass-rearing than S. littoralis.

**Conclusion:** To increase the efficacy of M. gyrator as an endoparasitoid, its numbers in the field should be increased by releasing a considerable number of adults, to control lepidopterous larval pests.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The braconid wasps, Meteorus spp. (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) are known worldwide as endoparasitoids for a broad range of lepidopterous pest larvae. These internal parasitoids have an ample host range; it attacks several noctuids, geometrid, and lymantriid pests [1,2,3]. Thompson [4] referenced the wide host-range of Meteorus gyrator (Thunberg) as an endoparasitic solitary wasp, which has a wide geographical distribution. Available literature showed a record concerning M. gyrator as a larval parasitoid on the gypsy moth, Ocneria dispar L. (Family Erebidae) in USSR [1]. Investigation by El-Sheikh et al. [5] in his work on Mythimma loreyi (Family Noctuidae) (Duponchel) larvae, and Bell et al. [6,7] on the tomato moth, Lacanobia oleracea (L.) (Family Noctuidae) larvae, indicates that M. gyrator attacks a wide range of lepidopteran species both in open-fields and in glasshouse crops in the UK and Europe.

In Egypt Meteorus spp. were reported to parasitize Agrotis ipsilon (Noctuidae) [8,9]; and Plutella maculipennis Curtis (Plutellidae) [10]. Meteorus gyrator was observed in many surveys mainly concerned by the most economically influential lepidopterous pests in Egypt, i.e., Spodoptera littoralis Boisd., S. exigua Hb., Heliothis armigera Hb., Autographa spp. and Sesamia cretica Lederer, in untreated fields of clover, maize, and vegetables [11,12,13, 14,15,16].

This work presents a method specifically designed to improve the abundance and the parasitazion potential of Meteorus gyrator in the open fields to parasitize and develop on a broad range of noctuid’s pests by releasing considerable number of the parasitoid adults. Also it deals with some aspects of the basic biology of M. gyrator parasitizing Spodoptera littoralis and Autographa ni, as mass-rearing hosts.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Population Dynamics

Biweekly, samples of lepidopterous larvae were collected, by means of manual picking, all year round, from the fields of Giza and Faiyum Governorates, for two successive years (November, 2017 to October, 2019). The surveyed host plants were, clover, cabbage, okra, tomato, maize, jew’s mallow, bean, soybean, cotton, pea and lettuce. Collected larvae were confined individually under constant conditions (25±2°C & 65±5% RH) fed on their natural host plant until pupation or the emergence of the internal parasitoids larvae of Meteorus spp. for pupation.

2.2 Laboratory Rearing

Cultures of some insect larvae, Heliothis armigera, Spodoptera exigua, Agrotis ipsilon, Sesamia cretica, S. littoralis and Autographa ni (fed on their natural host plant) were reared in
laboratory under constant conditions (25±2°C and 65±5% RH) to act as hosts for mass-production of the parasitoid, *M. gyrator* that fed on honey solution 10%.

### 2.3 Biological Studies

Preliminary experiments were conducted using *M. gyrator* for parasitism on the abovementioned larvae as hosts for mass-production.

Under laboratory conditions, *S. littoralis* and *Autographa ni* were both used as hosts for *M. gyrator* mass-production. These two species were chosen since they are the most suitable and the easiest to handle in the process of rearing the parasitoid. Both host larvae were at the 3rd instar when used, and the whole experiment was replicated thrice.

Third larval instar of both species (*S. littoralis* and *A. ni*) was confined individually in glass test tube (3cm in diameter and 15cm depth, supplied with piece of castor bean leaf, for larval feeding, and honey droplets, for female parasitoid feeding). Adult mated female parasitoid was introduced into each test tube, covered with muslin, and then incubated overnight at the same mentioned constant conditions.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) computer statistical package was used through the “Student t-Test” to discriminate between the obtained results.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Population Dynamics

Two *Meteorus* species, namely *M. rubens* (Nees) (gregarious parasitoid) and *M. gyrator* (Thunberg) (solitary parasitoid), were reared from several lepidopterous larvae that attack different host plants in the two selected Governorates in Egypt (Giza and Faiyum), during the course of the two years of investigation (2017-2019). The surveyed host plants were clover, cabbage, okra, tomato, maize, jew’s mallow, bean, soybean, cotton, pea, and lettuce.

*Meteorus rubens* was the predominant braconid species, compared to *M. gyrator*. It was found during both seasons and in both regions with comparatively higher counts. The presence period of *M. rubens* mostly elapsed from February to June in the different localities (Figs. 1-4); being one month earlier or one month later in the different years. It appeared that this period is the active period of its main host larvae, *A. ipsilon*. The presence period of the other two hosts *S. cretica* and *S. exigua* were mostly during May-July. Conversely, the dynamic period of the other braconid species, *M. gyrator*, varied in the different localities according to the existence of the different insect hosts on the different host plants.

![Graph](image.png)

**Fig. 1.** Mean number of collected and parasitized *Agrotis ipsilon* larvae and percentage of parasitism by *M. rubens* throughout two successive years (November 2017 to October 2019) in Giza region
Fig. 2. Mean number of collected and parasitized *Agrotis ipsilon* larvae and percentage of parasitism by *M. rubens* throughout two successive years (November 2017 to October 2019) in Faiyum region.

Fig. 3. Mean number of collected and parasitized *Spodoptera* spp., and percentage of parasitism by *M. gyrator* throughout two successive years (November 2017 to October 2019) in Giza region.

Rate of parasitism by *M. rubens* varied according to regions, seasons, and the host insect. In association with the main host, *A. ipsilon*, the general rate of parasitism in the different localities and the different seasons ranged from 3.30 to 42.90% with a mean of 23.10% in Giza. While in the case of *M. gyrator* it only ranged between 1.50 to 5.00% with a mean of 3.25%. In the Faiyum region, the corresponding figures were 3.70 to 22.22% with an average of 12.96% for *M. rubens*; and ranged between 2.50 to 11.36%, with an average of 6.93% for *M. gyrator* (Figs. 1-4).
These findings agreed with those reported by El-Heneidy and Hassanein [14] in their survey on different host larvae established on different host plants. Also, it agreed with Gesraha [15] in his work on the same parasitoids, that records nearly the same results. Also, these findings agreed with Zaki et al. [17] that mentioned in their work on the same insects (M. rubens and A. ipsilon), they applied kairomone before releasing Meteorus rubens to increase its efficacy in parasitism from 16.70% at 0-time to reach 43.30% after 10 days of application. Results also matched those of El-Husseini et al. [16] in their survey on numerous lepidopterous larvae collected from different crop and vegetable fields in different localities of Egypt, where they reported that M. gyrator was the common parasitoid on S. littoralis, S. exigua and Autographa spp.

3.2 Biological Parameters

3.2.1 The ovipositional activity of *M. gyrator*

First-round experiments were conducted to investigate the potentiality of the aforementioned host larvae (*Heliothis armigera*, *Spodoptera exigua*, Agrotis ipsilon, Sesamia cretica, S. littoralis, and Autographa ni) as hosts for *M. gyrator* mass production. Autographa ni and *Spodoptera littoralis* were the most suitable of all tested hosts. Meteorus gyrator was introduced to, *S. littoralis* and *A. ni*, being used as parasitoid mass-rearing host larvae, under constant conditions (25±2°C and 65±5% RH), to allow for ovipositional activity. The outcome data are summarized in Tables (1 & 2).

The incubation periods were 3.30 and 2.30 days for *S.littoralis* and *A. ni*, respectively; being significantly shorter in *A. ni* (T8= 2.599*). The 1st larval instar significantly varied between the two tested host larvae (T8= 4.914**), where it lasted longer time in case of *A. ni*. Both the 2nd and the 3rd larval instars variations were insignificant (T8= 1.134NS and T8= 0.806 NS), respectively. Finally, the total larval periods varied significantly, it was longer in case of *A. ni* (T8= 3.810**) (Table 1).

Data presented in Table 2 shows that the prepupal stage did not varied between the two host larvae, as both lasted only one day. The pupal stage and the total developmental period of both hosts recorded significant variations (Table 2). It was observed that male longevity recorded insignificant variation (T8=1.238NS) between the two tested host larvae. In contrast, female longevity of *A. ni* recoded a significantly longer period than *S.littoralis* (T8= 2.828*). Lastly, the lifecycle of *A. ni* was significantly shorter than *S.littoralis* (T8=2.477*). Also, data revealed that the longevity of mated females averaged shorter period (14.50±0.50 days) for *S.littoralis* than that of *A. ni* (16.00±0.45 days); being significantly varied (T8=2.828*) (Table 2).
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Table 3. Oviposition periods of
Metereus gyrator reared in both S. littoralis and A. ni (at 25±2°C and 65±5% RH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host larvae</th>
<th>Egg stage</th>
<th>Larval instars</th>
<th>Total larval period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. littoralis</td>
<td>3.30±0.34a (3-4)</td>
<td>3.40±0.19b (3-4)</td>
<td>2.60±0.18a (2-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ni</td>
<td>2.30±0.10b (2-3)</td>
<td>4.70±0.20a (2-5)</td>
<td>2.50±0.19a (2-3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-value (df=8) 2.599* 4.914** 1.134** 0.806*** 3.810**

Data obtained in (Table 3) shows that the number of parasitized host larvae/female averaged 33.30±0.95 and 46.50±1.20 larvae for S. littoralis and A. ni, respectively; being significantly different (T8=8.594**). These numbers were recorded during the respective ovipositional periods of 11.00±0.95 and 13.80±0.35 days, they showed a significant deviation between them (T8=2.767*). Consequently, the daily numbers of parasitized host larvae/female averaged 2.30±0.23 (l.80-2.70), and 2.80±0.11 (2.30-3.20) larvae for S. littoralis and A. ni, respectively, being insignificantly different (T8=1.917NS) (Table 3).

The maximum daily number of parasitized host larvae (4.50 larvae on average) was recorded on the 6th and the 8th day of the parasitoid female longevity for S. littoralis, as well as on the 6th day (6.5 larvae on average) for A. ni. Conversely, the lowest daily number of parasitized host larvae averaged 0.7 on the 14th day for S. littoralis, while it averaged 0.5 individuals on the last day of the parasitoid female longevity A. ni (Figs. 5 & 6).

Table 3. Oviposition periods of Metereus gyrator, average numbers of parasitized host larvae of both Spodoptera littoralis and Autographa ni (at 25±2°C and 65±5% RH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host larvae</th>
<th>Average oviposition periods (in days)</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Average female longevity</th>
<th>Avg. number of parasitized larvae/female</th>
<th>Average daily parasitism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-</td>
<td>Oviposition</td>
<td>Post-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. littoralis</td>
<td>2.00±0.00a (2-2)</td>
<td>11.00±0.95b (9-12)</td>
<td>1.50±0.22a (1-2)</td>
<td>14.50±0.50b (13-16)</td>
<td>33.30±0.95b (23-43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ni</td>
<td>2.00±0.00a (2-2)</td>
<td>13.80±0.35a (13-15)</td>
<td>0.70±0.11b (0-2)</td>
<td>16.50±0.50a (15-17)</td>
<td>46.50±1.20a (39-55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-value (df=8) --- 2.767* 3.187* 2.828* 8.594** 1.917NS

**= Highly Significant  *= Significant  NS= Not Significant
Means in a single column followed with the same letters are not significantly different (P=5%)
The preoviposition period was 2.0 days for both hosts, while the postoviposition period for *S. littoralis* was considerably longer than that of *A. ni* ($T_8=3.187^*$). The oviposition period was significantly longer in the case of *A. ni* than that of *S. littoralis* ($T_8=2.767^*$) (Table 3).

Pupae resulted from parasitized larvae of *S. littoralis* averaged a significantly lower number than that of *A. ni* ($T_8=3.857^{**}$) (Table 4). The numbers recorded of the resultant progeny had nearly the same trend, also showing a significant difference ($T_8=3.761^{**}$). The percentage of females among the resultant progeny in association with the abovementioned two hosts were in respective 29.20±1.36 and 40.00±1.30%; being significantly varied ($T_8=5.740^{**}$) (Table 4).

![Graph of Spodoptera littoralis](image1)

**Fig. 5.** Average daily numbers of parasitized *Spodoptera littoralis* 3$^{rd}$ larval instar along *Meteorus gyrator* female longevity (at 25±2°C and 65+5% RH)

![Graph of Autographa ni](image2)

**Fig. 6.** Average daily numbers of parasitized *Autographa ni* 3$^{rd}$ larval instar along *Meteorus gyrator* female longevity (at 25±2°C and 65+5% RH)
Table 4. Produced progeny of one Meteorus gyrator female reared on larvae of Spodoptera littoralis and Autographa ni (at 25±2°C and 65±5% RH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host larvae</th>
<th>Average number of formed pupae</th>
<th>Average number of emerged adults (%)</th>
<th>Resulted females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. littoralis</td>
<td>31.00±3.11 b (22-40)</td>
<td>31.30±4.10 b (21-40)</td>
<td>29.20±1.36 b (26-34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ni</td>
<td>46.50±2.54 a (39-55)</td>
<td>46.5±2.54 a (39-55)</td>
<td>40.00±1.30 a (35-42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-value (df=8) 3.857** 3.761** 5.740**

**= Highly Significant NS= Not Significant Numbers between bracts = Range Means in a single column followed with the same letters are not significantly different (P=5%)

Our obtained results reveals that the longevity of mated female parasitoid averaged longer time in case of A. ni than that recorded for S. littoralis, while the former host reflects no post-oviposition period. This means that the female parasitoid deposited their eggs until the end day of its life when attacking A. ni. Nevertheless, in case of S. littoralis, the parasitoid females stop laying eggs for 2 days post-oviposition period. This phenomenon means that the exposure period of host larvae will increase; consequently, the whole number of the parasitized larvae will be increased in case of A. ni. In addition, the maximum number of daily-parasitized host larvae was 1.44 folds in A. ni more than that in the case of S. littoralis. The entire number of resulted progeny from parasitized larvae was about 1.5 folds in A. ni than that of S. littoralis. All things considered, it appears that A. ni seems to be more preferable as a host for M. gyrator than S. littoralis, because of the oviposition period was significantly longer, and the total numbers of parasitized larvae, formed pupae and emerged adults were significantly greater.

These results agreed with that reported by [15] for its findings on the same species. Our obtained results also matched those reported by [18], when they examined the impact of both, host stages and temperature, on some developmental parameters of M. gyrator. Results, also, matched those of [19] in their work on the comparative biology of M. gyrator on five noctuid pest species: Lacanobia oleracea, Mamestra brassicae, Spodoptera exigua, Spodoptera littoralis, and Chrysodeixis chalcites. They reported that female parasitoid attacked all larval examined stages, but they preferred the 3rd larval instar, which was parasitized most frequently, in all species, with parasitized percentage ranged between 3.10 to 94.00% according to the host species.

4. CONCLUSION

In order to increase the efficacy of M. gyrator as a biological control agent, its adults population should be increased in the field by means of mass-rearing, and then by releasing a considerable number of its adults, either mated females or males and females synchronously, at the same time, for controlling the prevailing larval lepidopterous pests.
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