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ABSTRACT

This study was undertaken to assess the occurrence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and their in-vitro susceptibility among cattle traders, herdsmen and butchers in Maiduguri cattle market, Borno state, Nigeria. Two hundred and twelve sample (212) sputum samples were collected using wide mouth sterile universal container and transported immediately to Medical Micro-Biology laboratory department, Centre of Excellence, university of Maiduguri teaching hospital (U.M.T.H.) for Laboratory diagnosed. The sputum samples were culture on MacConkey and Blood agar media and isolated then, identified using Biochemical test which include indole, citrate utilization and urease tests, but only 15 sputum samples were found infected or positive to *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. And
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ABBREVIATION

U.M.T.H :University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital
CDC : Center for Disease Control
CRKP : Carbenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae
CRE : Carbenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae
MIC : Minimal Inhibitory Concentrations
ESBL : Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases

1. INTRODUCTION

Klebsiella pneumoniae is a Gram-negative, non-
motile, lactose-fermenting, rod-shape organism
which is able to grow either with or without free
oxygen, deeming it a facultative anaerobic and
measures 2 µm by 0.5 µm [1]. This organism is
also surrounded by a capsule, which increases
its virulence by acting as a physical barrier to
evade the host’s immune response. This capsule
also protects the cell from desiccation [2]. K.
pneumoniae is a home-grown microorganism in
that it resides in the microbiota of humans. It
can be found in the mouth, skin, and intestinal tract, where it initially does not cause disease it
serve as normal flora of the body, Klebsiella pneumoniae can progress into severe bacterial infections (opportunistic pathogen) leading to
pneumonia, bloodstream infections, wound infections, urinary tract infections, and meningitis. Patients who require equipment such as
catheters or ventilators are at high risk for infections. Also, a patient administered a course
of broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment is at an
even high risk due to the disruption of the normal flora of the bacteria in the body, deeming it more susceptible to pathogens [3]. Klebsiella organisms are often resistant to multiple antibiotics. Current evidence implicates plasmids as the primary source of the resistance genes [4]. Klebsiella with the ability to produce extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) is
resistant to many classes of antibiotics. The most
frequent are resistance to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole [5]. Infection
with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) or carbapenemase —producing Enterobacteriaceae is emerging as an important challenge in health-care settings [6]. One of many CREs is carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP). Over the past 10 years, a progressive increase in CRKP has been seen
worldwide; however, this new emerging nosocomial pathogen is probably best known for
an outbreak in Israel that began around 2006
within the healthcare system there [7]. In the
USA, it was first described in North Carolina in
1996 [8]. Since then CRKP has been identified in
41 states [9]. And is recovered routinely in
certain hospitals in New York and New Jersey it
is now the most common CRE species encountered within the United States. CRKP is
resistant to almost all available antimicrobial agents, and infections with CRKP have caused
high rates of morbidity and mortality, in particular
among persons with prolonged hospitalization and those critically ill and exposed to invasive
devices (e.g., ventilators or central venous catheters). The concern is that carbapenem is
often used as a drug of last resort when battling resistant bacterial strains. New slight mutations
could result in infections for which healthcare professionals can do very little, if anything, to
treat patients with resistant organisms. A number of mechanisms cause carbapenem resistance in
the Enterobacteriaceae. These include hyperproduction of ampC beta-lactamase with an
outer membrane porin mutation, CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamase with a porin
mutation or drug efflux, and carbapenemase production. The most important mechanism of
resistance by CRKP is the production of a carbapenemase enzyme, blakpc. The gene that encodes the blakpc enzyme is carried on a
mobile piece of genetic material (a transposon
the specific transposon involved is called Tn4401), which increases the risk for dissemination. CRE can be difficult to detect because some strains that harbor blaKPC have minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) that are elevated but still within the susceptible range for carbapenems. Because these strains are susceptible to carbapenems, they are not identified as potential clinical or infection control risks using standard susceptibility testing guidelines. Patients with unrecognized CRKP colonization have been reservoirs for transmission during nosocomial outbreaks. The extent and prevalence of CRKP within the environment is currently unknown. The mortality rate is also unknown, but is suspected to be within a range of 12.5% to 44%. The likelihood of an epidemic or pandemic in the future remains uncertain. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention released guidance for aggressive infection control to combat CRKP: Place all patients colonized or infected with carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* on contact precautions. Acute-care facilities are to establish a protocol, in conjunction with the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute to detect non-susceptibility and carbapenemase production in *Enterobacteriaceae*, in particular *Klebsiella* spp. And *Escherichia coli*, and immediately alert epidemiology and infection-control staff members if identified. All acute-care facilities are to review microbiology records for the preceding 6–12 months to ensure that there have not been previously unrecognized CRE cases. If they do identify previously unrecognized cases, a point prevalence survey (a single round of active surveillance cultures) in units with patients at high risk (e.g., intensive-care units, units where previous cases have been identified, and units where many patients are exposed to broad-spectrum antimicrobials) is needed to identify any additional patients colonized with carbapenem-resistant or carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella* spp. and *E. coli*. When a case of hospital-associated CRE is identified, facilities should conduct a round of active surveillance testing of patients with epidemiologic links to the CRE case (e.g., those patients in the same unit or patients having been cared for by the same health-care personnel [10]. One specific example of this containment policy could be seen in Israel in 2007 [11]. This policy had an intervention period from April, 2007 to May, 2008. A nationwide outbreak of CRE (which peaked in March, 2007 at 55.5 cases per 100,000 patient days) necessitated a nationwide treatment plan. The intervention entailed physical separation of all CRE carriers and appointment of a task force to oversee efficacy of isolation by closely monitoring hospitals and intervening when necessary. After the treatment plan (measured in May, 2008), the number of cases per 100,000 patient days decreased to 11.7. The plan was effective because of strict hospital compliance, wherein each was required to keep detailed documentation of all CRE carriers. In fact, for each increase in compliance by 10%, incidence of cases per 100,000 patient days decreased by 0.6. Therefore, containment on a nationwide scale requires nationwide intervention. In the United States, the reasons the CDC is recommending the detection of carbapenem resistance or carbapenemase production only for *Klebsiella* spp. and *E. coli* are: this facilitates performing the test in the microbiology laboratory without the use of molecular methods, and these organisms represent the majority of CREs encountered in the United States. Effective sterilization and decontamination procedures are important to keep the infection rate of this antibiotic-resistant strain, CRKP, as low as possible.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Research Design

Prospective studies of cattle traders, herdsmen and butchers in Kasuwan shanu. The study involves obtaining the sensitivity and resistivity of *Klebsiella pneumonia* isolated.

2.2 Study Area

Maiduguri cattle market known as “Kasuwan Shana” Maiduguri metropolitan council, Borno state, Nigeria.

2.3 Sample Study Population

A total of two hundred (212) subjects were enrolled in this study including cattle traders, herdsmen, and butchers, that are doing their business daily activities in Maiduguri cattle market.

2.4 Method of Sputum Collection (Expectoration)

Clean sterile wide mouth container (universal container) will be given to each subject, Orientation will be given to the subject by making
at least three breaths, then force out a deep or strong cough so that sufficient sputum may be expectorated into the sterile universal container.

2.5 Sample Processing

All sputum specimens were transported immediately to the laboratory and processed within an hour of collection.

2.6 Culturing Procedure

The specimens on reaching the laboratory were cultured on Blood, MacConkey agar respectively. They were incubated aerobically for 24 hours at 37°C. the following day, the plates were examined macroscopically for colonial morphological characteristics and features [12].

2.7 Statistical Data Analysis

The data generated was analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL USA) version 23. Prevalence, sensitivity and resistivity was determined. The data were presented in tables.

3. RESULTS

Two hundred and twelve (212) sputum sample from cattle traders, herdsmen and butchers in Maiduguri cattle market were examine for the occurrence of Klebsiella pneumoniae and there in-vitro susceptibility, the following result were obtained.

Table 1. shows the demographic information of the respondent, number of samples, percentage and frequency during the collection of the sample in Maiduguri cattle market Borno state, Nigeria.

Table 2. shows the prevalence, percentage and the number of organism isolated from two hundred and twelve sputum sample (212), ninety three (93) micro-organisms were isolated and identified, these are Klebsiella pneumoniae 15 (16.1%), Escherichia coli 8 (8.6%), Pseudomonas 7 (7.5%), Proteus vulgaris 10 (10.6%), Proteus aeroginosa 13 (13.9%), Vibro cholera 12 (12.9%), Salmonellae spps 10 (10.6%), Yersinia enterocolitica 4 (4.3%), and others coliforms 14 (15.0%).

Table 3. shows the distribution of sensitivity and resistivity (susceptibility test) of Klebsiella pneumonia to antibiotics by disc diffusion method.

In all the 15 Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated, 15(100) are sensitive and 0(0%) are resistance to Tarivid, out of 15 of the Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate 5(33.3%) are sensitive and 10(66.7%) are resistance to Gentamycin out of 15 of the Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate 14(93.3%) are sensitive and 1(6.7%) are resistance to Pefloxacin 7(46.7%) are sensitive and 8(53.3%) are resistance to Chloranphenicol 13(86.7%) are sensitive and 2(13.3%) are resistance to Sparfloxacin 14(93.3%) are sensitive and 1(6.7%) are resistance to Ciprofloxacin 4(26.7%) are sensitive and 11(73.3%) are resistance to Streptomycin 3(20%) are sensitive and 12(80%) are resistance to Seprin 4(26.7%) are sensitive to and 11(73.3%) are resistance to Augmentin and finally out of 15 of the Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate 6(60%) are sensitive and 9(60%) are resistance to Amoxicillin.

### Table 1. Demographic information of the sample collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/No.</th>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>No. of sample collected</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21-26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27-32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33-37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38-42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43-47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48-52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53-57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. DISCUSSION

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* is found as a normal flora of the mouth, skin, and intestines. It causes destructive changes to human and animal lungs the mode of transmission is person-person contact if aspirated (inhaled), specifically to the alveoli (in the lungs) resulting in bloody sputum, and can progress into severe bacterial infections (opportunistic pathogen) leading to pneumonia, bloodstream infections, wound infections, urinary tract infections, and sometime meningitis, especially in immunocompromised individuals such as sufferers of chronic diseases or alcoholics [1]. This study was done to determine the prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and there in-vitro susceptibility, among cattle traders, herdsmen and butchers whose are always in contact with animals in Maiduguri cattle market known as “Kasuwan Shanu” Maiduguri metropolitan council, Borno state, Nigeria.

The study revealed that the prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumonia* is low with 16.1%. This is in agreement with the study done by Darsana which stated that the prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumonia* in slaughter house in Bali is low [13], and also in agreement with a study done by Founou which stated that the prevalence is 11.2% [14]. The high prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumonia* as seen in this study may be as a result of environmental factor which favors the growth of the organism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/No.</th>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>No. of sample collected</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numbers of micro-organism isolate from 212 sputum sample collected in Maiduguri cattle market among cattle traders Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/No.</th>
<th>Name of organism</th>
<th>No. Of organism</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>E.coli</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Proteus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Proteus aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Vibrio cholera</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Salmonella spps</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Yersinia enterocolitica</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Others coliform</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Distribution of sensitivity and resistivity (susceptibility test) by disc diffusion method of the 15 of the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate

| Sample No. | Antibiotics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | % Sens | % Resi |
|            | Gentamycin  | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | 33.3 | 66.7 |
|            | Pefloxacin  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 93.3 | 6.7  |
|            | Tarivid     | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100  | 0.0  |
|            | Chloromphenicol | - | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 46.7 | 53.3 |
|            | Sparfloxacin | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 86.7 | 13.3 |
|            | Ciprofloxacin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 93.3 | 6.7  |
|            | Streptomycin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26.7 | 73.3 |
|            | Septonin    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.0 | 80.0 |
|            | Augmentin   | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 26.7 | 73.3 |
|            | Amoxacillin | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 40.0 | 60.0 |

*Key: + = zone of inhibition, - = No Inhibition, Sens. = Sensitivity, Resis. = Resistance*

Mohammed et al.; AJOB, 12(4): 8-14, 2021; Article no.AJOB.71455
And the in-vitro susceptibility of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate by disc diffusion method in this study shows that most 15 of the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate are sensitive to these antimicrobial drug: Pefloxacin (93.3%), Tarivid (100%), Sparfloxacin (80%), Ciprofloxacin (93.3%) and resistance to Streptomycin (73.3%), Septrin (80%), Augumentin (73.3%), Gentamycin (66.7%), and Amoxacillin (60%).

5. CONCLUSION

The study showed that the prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumonia* among cattle trader, herdsmen and butchers in Maiduguri is low. Also, the study showed that the in-vitro susceptibility of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate by disc diffusion method is sensitive to almost all the antimicrobial drugs used in the study.

6. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

- Collection of sputum samples from cattle traders, herdsmen and butchers at Maiduguri cattle market is an uneasy task due to people socio-cultural view, individual differences and human behavior.
- The sputum sample may be nasty and messy, so asking a person for such sample is embarrassing in the group of people which basically depend on their educational background and awareness about such type of research particular.
- Time may be a major step back during this study period.
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